Journal homepage: http://www.ifrj.upm.edu.my

# Quantitative and qualitative assessments of microbial contamination in some bottled and tap water with their drug resistant pattern

<sup>1</sup>Razzak, S. B. A., <sup>1</sup>Sweety, M. A., <sup>2</sup>Lubna, M. A., <sup>1</sup>Akter, T., <sup>1</sup>Ahmmed, J., <sup>3</sup>Hossaini, F., <sup>4</sup>Uddin, S. H. M., <sup>5</sup>Roy, T. S. and <sup>1,6</sup>\*Acharjee, M.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Microbiology, Stamford University Bangladesh, 51 Siddeswari Road, Dhaka 1217, Bangladesh

<sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Gothenburg, 405 30 Gothenburg, Sweden

<sup>3</sup>Laboratory of Emerging Infection and Parasitology, ICDDR,B, 68 Shaheed Tajuddin Ahmed Sarani Mohakhali, Dhaka 1212, Bangladesh

<sup>4</sup>School of Medical Science, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, The University of Manchester, Stopford Building, Manchester, M13 9PL, United Kingdom

<sup>5</sup>Department of Agronomy, Sher-e-Bangla Agriculture University, Dhaka-1207, Bangladesh <sup>6</sup>Department of Bioscience, Graduate School of Science and Technology, Suruga-Ku, Shizuoka, 422-8529, Japan

#### Article history

#### <u>Abstract</u>

Received: 7 September 2020 Received in revised form: 13 March 2021 Accepted: 19 March 2021

#### **Keywords**

coliform and faecal coliform, drug resistance, waterborne diseases, water microbiology The present work focussed on the concerns of the existence of coliform, faecal coliform, and other pathogens in both tap water and commercially available bottled water, along with the drug resistant pattern of the isolates. The physico-chemical features of the bottled water samples were satisfactory, but most of the tap water exceeded the marginal limit. A total of 21 samples (10 of tap water and 11 of bottled water) were collected and processed for microbiological analysis. All the samples were found to be contaminated with total viable bacteria up to  $10^8$  CFU/mL. Among the 21 samples, seven samples were found to be contaminated with E. coli up to  $10^6$  CFU/mL, and six samples had Klebsiella spp. up to  $10^2$ CFU/mL. Faecal contamination was totally absent in all bottled water, but present in four tap water samples. Fungi was found in six samples within the range of  $10^2$  to  $10^3$  CFU/mL. Surprisingly, Staphylococcus spp. were observed in all bottled water. Vibrio spp. were detected in three samples. An elevated number of faecal coliforms, *Klebsiella* spp., Salmonella spp., Shigella spp., Vibrio spp., and Pseudomonas spp. were estimated among the tap water samples up to 10<sup>5</sup> CFU/mL. The water samples, especially tap water, collected from the different areas were microbiologically unsafe, as few pathogenic microorganisms were found in several samples. This indicated as public health threat. Most of the isolates from both tap and bottled water samples were found to be resistant against more than one antibiotic tested, which is extremely alarming for the consumers. Very few antibiotics were found to be effective against the bacterial isolates.

© All Rights Reserved

# Introduction

Safe drinking water is a basic human right, and an essential step to improve the living standards of people (Acharjee *et al.*, 2011; 2014; Tabassum *et al.*, 2019). Though there is sufficient freshwater to meet the needs of global human population, these resources are not evenly distributed. Besides, water bodies including river, lake, ponds, and wells are teeming with pathogenic and non-pathogenic bacteria, protozoa, fungi, and viruses. Among all the microbial contaminants, enteric pathogens are the most important to control (Acharjee *et al.*, 2014). Usually, *E. coli* and other enteropathogens are present in environmental water bodies at a very low concentration; it is an extremely time-consuming and

complex to detect them (Munshi *et al.*, 2012; Acharjee *et al.*, 2014). As coliforms are most abundant in intestinal flora of humans and warm-blooded animals, they are found plenty in faecal wastes (Rompré *et al.*, 2002; Acharjee *et al.*, 2014). As a consequence, coliforms, which are detected in higher concentration than pathogenic bacteria, are used as indicators for pathogenic bacteria in water environments (Acharjee *et al.*, 2011; Munshi *et al.*, 2012).

Though coliforms are routinely found in different natural environments, drinking water is not a natural environment for them (DiPaola, 1998; McLellan, 2004; Ahmed *et al.*, 2005). Their presence in drinking water is considered as a possible threat or indicative of microbiological water quality

deterioration. Positive total coliform samples in treated water, which is supposed to be coliform-free, may imply loss of disinfectant, treatment ineffectiveness (McFeters et al., 1986), the supply of polluted water into the potable water supply (Clark et al., 1996), or regrowth problems (LeChevallier, 1990) in the distribution system, which should not be ignored. There is still dispute over using coliform group as an indicator of the possible presence of enteric pathogens in aquatic system as waterborne disease outbreaks were reported previously, despite authorities adhering to coliform regulations (Payment et al., 1991; Moore et al., 1994; MacKenzie et al., 1994; Gofti et al., 1999). However, different methods are now available to monitor the existence of coliform, faecal-coliform, and other pathogens in drinking water by which the quality of the water can be easily detected (Ahmad et al., 2013; Acharjee et al., 2014; Tabassum et al., 2019). The present work was therefore undertaken to assess the presence and loads of coliform, faecal-coliform, and other waterborne bacteria in bottled and tap water, along with their resistance properties against antibiotics.

#### Materials and methods

#### Study area and sampling

The present work was conducted by including the community of Dhaka metropolis, where people generally consume water from the tap and commercially available bottled. In total, 21 water samples (10 tap water from different households, and 11 commercially available bottled water) were obtained from June to July 2019. The targeted community of the Dhaka city used the tap water directly (without any treatment) for their daily use. Samples were collected in properly labelled sterile screw-capped bottles, under aseptic condition, and placed in a thermal stabilising box of 25°C while transporting them to the laboratory for microbiological analysis (Munshi *et al.*, 2012; Acharjee *et al.*, 2011; 2014).

# Physico-chemical parameters of water samples

All the water samples were subjected to evaluate their physico-chemical properties such as dissolved oxygen, temperature, pH, electrical conductivity (EC), salinity, total dissolved solid (TDS), and turbidity through the standard guidelines of American Public Health Association (APHA, 1995) and American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) using different calibrated standard instruments. The pH meter was used to measure the pH of water samples (model HI 98130 Hanna, Mauritius) and the conductivity of the samples was measured using a conductivity meter (model HI 98130 Hanna, Mauritius). Turbidity meter was used to determine the turbidity of the water samples (model 2100P Turbidimeter HACH, Colombia, USA). TDS in water samples were determined following the standard methods of APHA (APHA, 1995) by the filtration process.

#### Microbiological quality of water samples

For the estimation of total viable bacteria (TVB), coliform (E. coli, Klebsiella spp.), and faecal coliform, an aliquot of 0.1 mL of each sample was spread onto nutrient agar (NA), MacConkey agar, and membrane faecal coliform (MFC) agar, respectively, using the spread plate technique (Cappuccino and Sherman, 1996). Inoculated plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h, except for MFC agar plates, which were incubated at 44.5°C. Eosin methylene blue (EMB) agar was used for further confirmation of E. coli by observing distinctive green metallic sheen colonies. Mannitol salt agar (MSA) and thiosulfate citrate bile salts sucrose (TCBS) agar were used to determine the Staphylococcus spp. and Vibrio spp., respectively. For the final identification, all isolates were biochemically analysed by following the standard methods (Cappuccino and Sherman, 1996; Alfred, 2007).

#### Antibiotic susceptibility test

All the isolates identified through biochemical tests were subjected to antibiotic susceptibility test (either resistant or susceptible) against commonly used antibiotics on Mueller-Hinton agar (Difco, Detroit, USA) by following the standard protocol of disc diffusion assay (Bauer et al., 1966; Munshi et al., 2012). Antibiotics used were trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (25 µg), erythromy $cin (15 \mu g)$ , amoxicillin (30  $\mu g$ ), ceftriaxone (30  $\mu g$ ), ciprofloxacin (5 streptomycin (10 μg), μg), ampicillin tetracycline (10 μg), (30 μg), chloramphenicol (30 μg), cefixime (5 μg), polymyxin B (300 units), kanamycin (30 µg), vancomycin (30 µg), gentamicin (10 µg), nalidixic acid (30  $\mu$ g), azithromycin (15  $\mu$ g), and penicillin G (10 µg).

#### **Results and discussion**

Drinking water is not sterile as it always carries different microorganisms from reservoir, distribution system, tap, and other sources. Most of them are considered innocuous, but the presence of opportunistic pathogen might cause problems. More than 500 pathogens are listed for implication with various waterborne diseases in drinking water by the US Environmental Protection Agency (Fawell and Nieuwenhuijsen, 2003). The quality of drinking water in terms of microbial contamination is not the same around the globe. Considering the consumers' health safety, the present work attempted to explore the contamination level in some commercially available bottled drinking water as well as from different household tap water in Dhaka metropolis. The establishment of resistance or susceptibility of all isolates found in the samples against commonly used antibiotics was another focus of the present work.

#### Physico-chemical parameters of water samples

For tap water, the DO was from 4.7 to 7.7 mg/L, the pH was from 7.7 to 10.4, the EC was from 291 to 460  $\mu$ s/cm, the salinity was from 0.14 to 0.27 ppt, the TDS was from 132 to 255 ppm, the turbidity was from 0.25 to 2.09 NTU, and the temperature was from 26 to 27°C (Table 1).

For bottled water, the DO was from 4.3 to 7.4 mg/L, the pH was from 6.5 to 6.9, the EC was from 286 to 314  $\mu$ s/cm, the salinity was from 0.12 to

0.19 ppt, the TDS was from 117 to 163 ppm, the turbidity was from 0.43 to 2.54 NTU, and the temperature was constant at  $26^{\circ}$ C (Table 1).

Based on these results, most of the tap water samples exceeded the marginal limit of all parameters (DO, temperature, pH, EC, salinity, TDS, and turbidity). This may diminish the overall quality of drinking water such as taste, odour, smell, and colour.

#### Microbiological quality of tap water samples

All tap water samples were heavily contaminated with numerous bacteria. Total viable bacterial (TVB) count of the samples was in the range of  $10^2$  to  $10^8$  CFU/mL (Table 2). Samples 01, 04, 06, and 08 were contaminated with faecal coliform, which indicated the presence of faecal contamination and probable risk of other microbial pathogens. *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* spp. were also detected in these four samples in a range of  $10^2$  to  $10^6$  CFU/mL. *E. coli* is quite notorious in causing waterborne diseases, and disease outbreaks caused by pathogenic *E. coli* are well documented in previous studies (O'Connor, 2002; Olsen *et al.*, f 2002; Park *et al.*, 2018). *Klebsiella* spp. are natural inhabitants of many water bodies, and can grow in

| Sample<br>type | Sample number | DO<br>(mg/L) | Temperature<br>(°C) | pН   | EC<br>(µs/cm) | Salinity<br>(ppt) | TDS<br>(ppm) | Turbidity<br>(NTU)  |
|----------------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|------|---------------|-------------------|--------------|---|
|                | S-01          | 7.7          | 27                  | 8.8  | 291           | 0.14              | 132          | 0.72  |
|                | S-02          | 7.5          | 26                  | 8.6  | 460           | 0.23              | 200          | 1.53  |
|                | S-03          | 6.9          | 26                  | 9.6  | 440           | 0.27              | 255          | 2.09  |
|                | S-04          | 4.7          | 26                  | 9.0  | 390           | 0.18              | 174          | 1.72  |
| Tap water      | S-05          | 4.8          | 26                  | 10.4 | 370           | 0.21              | 189          | I urbidity<br>(NTU)         0.72         1.53         2.09         1.72         0.79         0.50         0.88         0.79         0.25         0.93         1.00         0.53         0.49         1.57         1.18         1.34         2.54         1.40         0.43         0.40 |
|                | S-06          | 6.8          | 26                  | 8.8  | 452           | 0.23              | 190          | 0.50  |
|                | S-07          | 4.7          | 26                  | 7.7  | 360           | 0.19              | 169          | 0.88  |
|                | S-09          | 5.7          | 26                  | 8.5  | 445           | 0.20              | 197          | 0.79  |
|                | S-10          | 5.8          | 26                  | 8.9  | 388           | 0.19              | 169          | 0.25  |
|                | Fresh         | 4.3          | 26                  | 7.9  | 304           | 134               | 0.12         | 0.93  |
|                | Spa           | 6.5          | 26                  | 6.9  | 286           | 125               | 0.13         | 1.00  |
|                | Shena         | 7.0          | 26                  | 6.7  | 300           | 127               | 0.14         | 0.53  |
|                | Aquafina      | 4.8          | 26                  | 7.7  | 290           | 126               | 0.14         | 0.49  |
|                | Mum           | 6.7          | 26                  | 6.7  | 302           | 135               | 0.15         | 1.57  |
| Bottled        | Kinley        | 4.9          | 26                  | 7.9  | 298           | 130               | 0.14         | 1.18  |
| water          | Evian         | 4.8          | 26                  | 7.8  | 314           | 139               | 0.15         | 1.34  |
|                | Pran          | 4.5          | 26                  | 6.6  | 284           | 124               | 0.13         | 2.54  |
|                | Jibon         | 7.8          | 26                  | 6.5  | 376           | 163               | 0.19         | 1.40  |
|                | Eco           | 5.5          | 26                  | 6.5  | 274           | 117               | 0.13         | 0.43  |
|                | Nestle        | 4.5          | 25                  | 5.5  | 264           | 116               | 0.11         | 0.40  |

Table 1. Physico-chemical parameters of the water samples.

DO = dissolved oxygen; EC = electrical conductivity; TDS = total dissolved solid.

Klebsiella Salmonella Shigella Vibrio Sample Pseudomonas **Staphylococcus** нрс FCC E. coli number spp. spp. spp. spp. spp. spp.  $2.3 \times 10^{3}$  $2 \times 10^{6}$  $2 \times 10^2$  $6.2 \times 10^{2}$ S-01  $2.6 \times 10^{7}$ 0  $2 \times 10^2$ 0 0 S-02  $5.8 \times 10^{6}$ 0 0 0  $5.9 \times 10^{2}$  $4.8 \times 10^{2}$ 0 0  $1.3 \times 10^{3}$  $7.5 \times 10^{8}$ 0  $1.1 \times 10^{2}$ 0  $6.6 \times 10^{2}$ S-03 0 0  $4.4 \times 10^{3}$ 0 S-04  $3.7 \times 10^{6}$  $3.1 \times 10^{3}$  $1.8 \times 10^2$  $1.4 \times 10^{2}$  $3.3 \times 10^3$ 0 0 0 0 S-05  $5.6 \times 10^{5}$ 0 0 0  $4.8 \times 10^{2}$  $3 imes 10^2$ 0  $7 \times 10^2$ 0 0  $2 \times 10^3$ 0 S-06  $1.7 \times 10^{4}$  $5.3 \times 10^{2}$  $9.3 \times 10^{2}$  $2.9 \times 10^{2}$  $5 \times 10^{5}$  $9.5 \times 10^{2}$ S-07  $9.9 \times 10^{2}$ 0 0 0  $1.7 \times 10^2$  $4.5 \times 10^{3}$ 0  $4.5 \times 10^{3}$  $2 \times 10^{2}$ S-08  $7.7 \times 10^{3}$  $1 \times 10^{2}$  $7.8 \times 10^{4}$  $2 \times 10^{2}$  $4.5 \times 10^{2}$ 0 0  $8.8 \times 10^{2}$ 0  $2.0 \times 10^5$ 0 0 0  $8.4 imes 10^2$  $6 \times 10^5$ 0 S-09 0 0 S-10  $1.7 \times 10^{8}$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

Table 2. Microbiological assessment of tap water (CFU/mL).

HPC = Heterotrophic Plate Count; FCC = Fecal Coliform Count

organic nutrient-rich environments. Most of the *Klebsiella* spp. detected in drinking water are biofilm-former and sensitive to disinfectants. Proper treatment with disinfectant readily eliminates them from water, and their presence indicates the improper and inadequate treatment of drinking water (WHO, 2003; 2004).

More than half of the samples (sample 02 to 05, 07, and 08) were contaminated with *Salmonella* spp. in a range of 10<sup>2</sup> to 10<sup>3</sup> CFU/mL (Table 2). *Salmonella typhi* and *S. paratyphi* cause typhoid fever, while other non-typhoidal *Salmonella* spp. cause salmonellosis. In 2014, an outbreak of gastroenteritis was reported in Croatia which was caused by *S. enterica* from ground water, and lasted for 12 days (Kovačić *et al.*, 2017).

Almost all the tap water samples were contaminated with *Shigella* spp. in a range of 10<sup>2</sup> to 10<sup>3</sup> CFU/mL, except for samples 04, 08, and 10. Only sample 06 harboured Vibrio spp. at an amount of 10<sup>2</sup> CFU/mL (Table 2). Pseudomonas spp., which may become opportunistic under favourable condition, were detected in a total of five tap water samples (05 to 09), where the highest range was  $10^5$ CFU/mL for samples 06 and 09. Staphylococcus spp. was present in samples 01, 02, 03, 06, and 07 in a range of  $10^2$  to  $10^3$  CFU/mL. It was evident that the microbiological quality of the tap water samples was poor for consumption, and might pose serious health risk for the consumers. Further treatment is recommended for the tap water before consumption (Acharjee et al., 2014).

# Microbiological quality of bottled water samples

In all commercially available bottled water samples, TVB was detected at 10<sup>5</sup> CFU/mL. Similar results were found in Iran and Bangladesh by Khaniki *et al.* (2010) and Majumder *et al.* (2011), respectively, where the presence of heterotrophic bacteria were observed in all the commercially available bottled water samples. Another study conducted by El-Salam et al. (2008) showed that most of the bottled water samples were contaminated with heterotrophic bacteria. In the present work, four samples were contaminated with E. coli up to  $10^2$ CFU/mL, and two were contaminated with Klebsiella spp. up to 10<sup>2</sup> CFU/mL. Fungi was found in five samples in the range of  $10^2$  to  $10^3$  CFU/mL. Staphylococcus spp. were observed in all 11 bottled water samples, while Vibrio spp. were detected in two samples (Table 3). The presence of coliform in drinking water indicates faecal contamination and the probable presence of other pathogens, which may cause various waterborne diseases (Rompré et al., 2002; Acharjee et al., 2014). Overall, these results are beyond the acceptable microbiological limits in drinking water, thus making them unsuitable for human consumption (Acharjee et al., 2014).

#### Biochemical identification

Eight biochemical tests were performed to further identify the isolates (Table 4). Colonies of *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* spp. on MacConkey agar were transferred onto EMB agar, and seven of 21 samples were found to be contaminated with *E. coli* by the presence of green metallic sheen. The presence of *Pseudomonas* spp., *Vibrio* spp., *Staphylococcus* spp., *Salmonella* spp., and *Shigella* spp. were confirmed by distinctive biochemical characteristics.

# Drug resistance / susceptibility pattern of bacterial isolates

To evaluate the efficiency of commonly used antibiotics as well as the clinical significance of the bacterial isolates, antibiotic susceptibility test was performed. Both *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* spp. from tap

| Sample   |                  |                   | Coli             | iform                     | Faecal   | Stanhylococcus      | Vibrio           |
|----------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------------|----------|---------------------|------------------|
| type     | TVB              | Fungi             | E. coli          | <i>Klebsiella</i><br>spp. | coliform | spp.                | spp.             |
| Fresh    | $2.6 	imes 10^5$ | $3.2 \times 10^3$ | $5.3 	imes 10^2$ | $2.3 	imes 10^2$          | 0        | $2.0 \times 10^{3}$ | $1.9 	imes 10^2$ |
| Spa      | $3.0 	imes 10^5$ | $3.8 	imes 10^3$  | $1.0 	imes 10^2$ | 0                         | 0        | $1.0 \times 10^{3}$ | $1.0 	imes 10^2$ |
| Shena    | $2.8 	imes 10^5$ | $4.2 \times 10^3$ | 0                | 0                         | 0        | $1.9 \times 10^3$   | 0                |
| Aquafina | $2.0 	imes 10^5$ | $2.5 	imes 10^3$  | 0                | 0                         | 0        | $2.3 \times 10^{3}$ | 0                |
| Mum      | $2.7 	imes 10^5$ | 0                 | 0                | 0                         | 0        | $1.6 \times 10^{3}$ | 0                |
| Kinley   | $2.3 	imes 10^5$ | 0                 | $1.6 	imes 10^2$ | $2.0 	imes 10^2$          | 0        | $3.0 \times 10^3$   | 0                |
| Evian    | $2.6 	imes 10^5$ | 0                 | 0                | 0                         | 0        | $2.7 \times 10^{3}$ | 0                |
| Pran     | $3.5 	imes 10^5$ | 0                 | $3.3 	imes 10^2$ | 0                         | 0        | $1.9 \times 10^{3}$ | 0                |
| Jibon    | $2.2 	imes 10^5$ | 0                 | 0                | 0                         | 0        | $4.0 \times 10^3$   | 0                |
| Eco      | $2.9 	imes 10^5$ | $2.5 	imes 10^2$  | 0                | 0                         | 0        | $4.8 \times 10^3$   | 0                |
| Nestle   | $2.8 	imes 10^5$ | $2.9 	imes 10^2$  | 0                | 0                         | 0        | $1.9 \times 10^{3}$ | 0                |

Table 3. Microbiological assessment of bottled water (CFU/mL).

TVB = total viable bacteria.

|  | TSI   |      |     |        | _        |                      |    |    |                        |          |         |
|--|-------|------|-----|--------|----------|----------------------|----|----|------------------------|----------|---------|
| Assumed<br>pathogenic<br>microorganism | Slant | Butt | Gas | $H_2S$ | Motility | Indole<br>production | MR | ΥΡ | Citrate<br>utilization | Catalase | Oxidase |
| E. coli                                | Y     | Y    | +   | -      | +        | +                    | +  | -  | -                      | +        | -       |
| Klebsiella spp.                        | Y     | Y    | +   | -      | +        | -                    | -  | -  | +                      | +        | -       |
| Vibrio spp.                            | R     | Y    | -   | -      | +        | -                    | +  | -  | -                      | +        | +       |
| Staphylococcus spp.                    | Y     | Y    | -   | -      | +        | -                    | +  | -  | -                      | +        | -       |
| Pseudomonas spp.                       | R     | Y    | -   | -      | +        | -                    | +  | -  | -                      | +        | +       |
| Shigella spp.                          | R     | Y    | +   | -      | -        | +                    | +  | -  | -                      | +        | -       |
| Salmonella spp.                        | R     | Y    | +   | +      | -        | -                    | +  | -  | +                      | +        | -       |

Table 4. Biochemical tests of different pathogens.

All experiments were repeated thrice, with reproducible results. Values are from representative data. + = positive; - = negative; TSI = triple sugar iron test; Y = yellow (acid); R = red (alkaline); MR = methyl red; and VP = Voges-Proskauer.

and bottled water samples showed similar response: 100% susceptibility against aminoglycoside antibiotics (kanamycin, streptomycin, and 100% gentamicin) and resistance towards amoxicillin and ceftriaxone. Surprisingly, both E. coli and Klebsiella spp. from tap and bottled water samples also showed 100% susceptibility against vancomycin (Table 5). Shigella spp. and Pseudomonas spp. from tap water samples, and *Vibrio* spp., both from tap and bottled water samples exhibited 100% resistance towards most of the antibiotics (13 out of 16 antibiotics), except streptomycin, gentamycin, and azithromycin. Staphylococcus spp. from both tap and bottled water

samples expressed identical traits against all the antibiotics, excluding polymyxin B and cefixime, where tap water isolates showed 100% resistance towards polymyxin B and cefixime, while reverse result (100% susceptible) was observed for bottled water isolates. Multidrug resistant trait of the isolates might occur due to horizontal gene transfer, point mutation, genetic disorders, and mechanistic factors or by epidemiological factors (Bennett, 2008; Canton, 2009; Hung and Kaufman, 2010; Acharjee *et al.*, 2014).

Finally, the present work reported that some of the drinking water samples both from tap and bottled were not recommended for drinking because Table 5. Antibiotic susceptible pattern of the isolates.

| Bottled water | $\zeta = u$                      | S<br>(%) | 0           | 0         | 100          | 0          | 100        | 0              | 100          | 0            | 0            | 0           | 0           | 0             | 0          | 0            | 0               | 0        |
|---------------|----------------------------------|----------|-------------|-----------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|------------|--------------|-----------------|----------|
|               | ∙dds <i>oiıdi√</i>               | R<br>(%) | 100         | 100       | 0            | 100        | 0          | 100            | 0            | 100          | 100          | 100         | 100         | 100           | 100        | 100          | 100             | 100      |
|               | II = U<br>·dds<br>snəəoəojáydm;S | S (%)    | 100         | 100       | 100          | 100        | 100        | 100            | 100          | 0            | 0            | 0           | 0           | 100           | 0          | 0            | 0               | 100      |
|               |                                  | R<br>(%) | 0           | 0         | 0            | 0          | 0          | 0              | 0            | 100          | 100          | 100         | 100         | 0             | 100        | 100          | 100             | 0        |
|               | ζ = <i>U</i>                     | S (%)    | 100         | 100       | 100          | 100        | 100        | 100            | 100          | 0            | 100          | 0           | 0           | 50            | 0          | 0            | 50              | 50       |
|               | .qqs <i>silsisdsl</i> X          | R<br>(%) | 0           | 0         | 0            | 0          | 0          | 0              | 0            | 100          | 0            | 100         | 100         | 50            | 100        | 100          | 50              | 50       |
|               | v = u                            | S<br>(%) | 100         | 100       | 100          | 100        | 100        | 100            | 100          | 100          | 0            | 0           | 0           | 0             | 100        | 0            | 0               | 0        |
|               | E. coli                          | R<br>(%) | 0           | 0         | 0            | 0          | 0          | 0              | 0            | 0            | 100          | 100         | 100         | 100           | 0          | 100          | 100             | 100      |
|               | S = U<br>• dds                   | S<br>(%) | 0           | 100       | 100          | 100        | 100        | 100            | 100          | 0            | 0            | 0           | 0           | 100           | 0          | 0            | 0               | 0        |
|               | aas<br>sussososas                | R<br>(%) | 100         | 0         | 0            | 0          | 0          | 0              | 0            | 100          | 100          | 100         | 100         | 0             | 100        | 100          | 100             | 100      |
|               | S = u                            | S<br>(%) | 0           | 0         | 100          | 0          | 100        | 0              | 100          | 0            | 0            | 0           | 0           | 0             | 0          | 0            | 0               | 0        |
|               | •dds<br>svuowopnəs&              | R<br>(%) | 100         | 100       | 0            | 100        | 0          | 100            | 0            | 100          | 100          | 100         | 100         | 100           | 100        | 100          | 100             | 100      |
|               | I = u                            | S<br>(%) | 0           | 0         | 100          | 0          | 100        | 0              | 100          | 0            | 0            | 0           | 0           | 0             | 0          | 0            | 0               | 0        |
|               | oirdi <sup>V</sup><br>Vibrio     | R<br>(%) | 100         | 100       | 0            | 100        | 0          | 100            | 0            | 100          | 100          | 100         | 100         | 100           | 100        | 100          | 100             | 100      |
| vater         | L = u<br>.dds<br>v]]ə8i4S        | S<br>(%) | 0           | 0         | 100          | 0          | 100        | 0              | 100          | 0            | 0            | 0           | 0           | 0             | 0          | 0            | 0               | 0        |
| Tap v         |                                  | R<br>(%) | 100         | 100       | 0            | 100        | 0          | 100            | 0            | 100          | 100          | 100         | 100         | 100           | 100        | 100          | 100             | 100      |
|               | 9 = u<br>•dds<br>v]]əuoul]vS     | S (%)    | 50          | 0         | 100          | 50         | 100        | 100            | 100          | 0            | 50           | 0           | 50          | 0             | 0          | 0            | 0               | 50       |
|               |                                  | R<br>(%) | 50          | 100       | 0            | 50         | 0          | 0              | 0            | 100          | 50           | 100         | 50          | 100           | 100        | 100          | 100             | 50       |
|               | t = u                            | S (%)    | 0           | 100       | 100          | 100        | 100        | 100            | 100          | 0            | 0            | 0           | 0           | 100           |            | 50           | 100             | 0        |
|               | Klebsiella<br>K                  | R<br>(%) | 100         | 0         | 0            | 0          | 0          | 0              | 0            | 100          | 100          | 100         | 100         | 0             | 100        | 50           | 0               | 100      |
|               | £ = <i>u</i>                     | S<br>(%) | 100         | 100       | 100          | 100        | 100        | 100            | 100          | 0            | 0            | 0           | 0           | 100           | 0          | 0            | 0               | 100      |
|               | E. coli                          | R<br>(%) | 0           | 0         | 0            | 0          | 0          | 0              | 0            | 100          | 100          | 100         | 100         | 0             | 100        | 100          | 100             | 0        |
| Disc content  |                                  |          |             | 30 µg     | 10 µg        | 30 µg      | 10 µg      | 30 µg          | 15 µg        | 10 µg        | 15 µg        | 30 µg       | 30 µg       | 5 µg          | 10 µg      | 30 µg        | 30 µg           | 5 µg     |
| Antibiotic    |                                  |          | Polymyxin B | Kanamycin | Streptomycin | Vancomycin | Gentamicin | Nalidixic acid | Azithromycin | Penicillin G | Erythromycin | Amoxicillin | Ceftriaxone | Ciprofloxacin | Ampicillin | Tetracycline | Chloramphenicol | Cefixime |

of the presence of indicator bacteria *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* spp., while the presence of opportunistic pathogen *Pseudomonas* spp. is another possible health threat to the young, old, and immunosuppressed people. Several factors including lack of education and training, environmental contamination, inadequate processing, and improper handling might be responsible for the contamination of drinking water. Besides, the presence of drug resistance traits in the identified isolates might be a hindrance to eradicate waterborne diseases.

#### Conclusion

Diseases transmitted through polluted water are the major problem in developing countries due to sanitation, unhygienic management of poor environment and water bodies, low level of hygiene practices, and lack of monitoring and healthcare awareness. The present work aimed to determine the microbiological quality of drinking water from taps of different household points, and commercially available bottled water. Coliforms and indicator microorganisms (E. coli and Klebsiella spp.) were detected in a number of water samples; both from tap and bottled water. Isolates were further tested against 16 commonly available antibiotics for resistance potential. Some of the samples were found grossly polluted with faecal strains, which implied that the water would be unsafe for consumption. Besides, the presence of opportunistic pathogen Pseudomonas spp. in several tap water samples posed a health threat to immunocompromised people. The present work raises concern about the microbiological quality and safety of the drinking water as well as emphasises the importance of routine microbiological study to monitor and prevent contamination of drinking water.

#### Acknowledgement

The authors thank Stamford University Bangladesh for providing financial and technical support. Profound thanks also go to WASA for supplying water samples.

#### References

- Acharjee, M., Jahan, F., Rahman, F. and Noor, R. 2014. Bacterial proliferation in municipal water supplied in Mirpur locality of Dhaka city, Bangladesh. CLEAN - Soil Air Water 42(4): 434-441.
- Acharjee, M., Rahman, F., Beauty, S. A., Feroz, F., Rahman, M. M. and Noor, R. 2011.

Microbiological study on supply water and treated water in Dhaka city. Stamford Journal of Microbiology 1(1): 42-45.

- Ahmad, M., Sarwar, A., Najeeb, M., Nawaz, M., Anjum, A., Ali, M. and Mansur, N. 2013. Assessment of microbial load of raw meat at abattoirs and retail outlets. The Journal of Animal and Plant Science 23: 745-748.
- Ahmed, W., Neller, R. and Katouli, M. 2005. Host species-specific metabolic fingerprint database for enterococci and *Escherichia coli* and its application to identify sources of fecal contamination in surface waters. Applied Environmental Microbiology 71: 4461-4468.
- Alfred, E. B. 2007. Bensons Microbiological Applications. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company.
- American Public Health Association (APHA). 1995. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. 19<sup>th</sup> ed. New York: APHA.
- Bauer, A. W., Kirby, W. M. M., Sherris, J. C. and Tierch, M. 1966. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disc method. American Journal of Clinical Pathology 45(4): 493-496.
- Bennett, P. M. 2008. Plasmid encoded antibiotic resistance: acquisition and transfer of antibiotic resistance genes in bacteria. British Journal of Pharmacology 153(1): 347-357.
- Canton, R. 2009. Antibiotic resistance genes from the environment: a perspective through newly identified antibiotic resistance mechanisms in clinical setting. European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases 15(1): 20-25.
- Cappuccino, J. G. and Sherman, N. 1996. Microbiology - a laboratory manual. United States: The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Inc.
- Clark, R. M., Geldreich, E. E., Fox, K. R., Rice, E. W., Johnson, C. H., Goodrich, J. A., ... and Abdesaken, F. 1996. Tracking a *Salmonella* serovar Typhimurium outbreak in Gideon, Missouri: role of contaminant propagation modelling. Journal of Water Supply: Research and Technology - AQUA 45: 171-183.
- DiPaola, D. S. 1998. Biological and chemical renovation of waste water with a soil infiltrator low-pressure distribution system. United States: Virginia Tech Publishing.
- El-Salam, M. M. M. A., El-Ghitany, E. M. A. and Kassem, M. M. M. 2008. Quality of bottled water brands in Egypt part II: biological water examination. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association 83: 467-486.
- Fawell, J. and Nieuwenhuijsen, M. J. 2003.

Contaminants in drinking water. British Medical Bulletin 68: 199-208.

- Gofti, L., Zmirou, D., Murandi, F. S., Hartemann, P. and Poleton, J. L. 1999. Waterborne microbiological risk assessment: a state of the art and perspectives. Revue d'Epidémiologie et de Santé Publique 47: 61-75.
- Hung, D. T. and Kaufman, B. B. 2010. The fast track to multidrug resistance. Molecular and Cellular Biology 37(3): 297-298.
- Khaniki, G. R. J., Zarei, A., Kamkar, A., Fazlzadehdavil, M., Ghaderpoori, M. and Zarei, A. 2010. Bacteriological evaluation of bottled water from domestic brands in Tehran market, Iran. World Applied Sciences Journal 8(3): 274-278.
- Kovačić, A., Huljev, Z. and Sušić, E. 2017. Ground water as the source of an outbreak of *Salmonella* Enteritidis. Journal of Epidemiology and Global Health 7(3): 181-184.
- LeChevallier, M. W. 1990. Coliform bacteria in drinking water: a review. American Water Works Association 82: 74-86.
- MacKenzie, W. R., Hoxie, N. J., Proctor, M. E., Gradus, M. S., Blair, K. A., Peterson, D. E., ... and Rose, J. B. L. 1994. A massive outbreak in Milwaukee of cryptosporidium infection transmitted through the public water supply. The New England Journal of Medicine 331: 161-167.
- Majumder, A. K., Islam, K. N., Nite, R. N. and Noor, R. 2011. Evaluation of microbiological quality of commercially available bottled water in the city of Dhaka, Bangladesh. Stamford Journal of Microbiology 1(1): 24-30.
- McFeters, G. A., Kippin, J. S. and LeChevallier, M. W. 1986. Injured coliforms in drinking water. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 51: 1-5.
- McLellan, S. L. 2004. Genetic diversity of *Escherichia coli* isolated from urban rivers and beach water. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 70: 4658-4665.
- Moore, A. C., Herwaldt, B. L., Craun, G. F., Calderon, R. L., Highsmith, A. K. and Juranek, D. D. 1994. Waterborne disease in the United States, 1991 and 1992. American Water Works Association 86: 87-99.
- Munshi, S. K., Rahman, M. M. and Noor, R. 2012. Detection of virulence potential of diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli* isolated from surface water rivers surrounding Dhaka city. Journal of Bangladesh Academy of Sciences 36(1): 109-122.
- O'Connor, D. R. 2002. Report of the Walkerton inquiry. Part 1. A summary: the events of May 2000 and related issues. Ontario: Ministry of the

Attorney General.

- Olsen, S. J., Miller, G., Breuer, T., Kennedy, M., Higgins, C., Walford, J., ... and Mead, P. 2002.
  A waterborne outbreak of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infections and hemolytic uremic syndrome: implications for rural water systems. Emerging Infectious Diseases 8: 370-375.
- Park, J., Kim, J. S., Kim, S., Shin, E., Oh, K. H., Kim, Y., ... and Kim, J. 2018. A waterborne outbreak of multiple diarrhoeagenic *Escherichia coli* infections associated with drinking water at a school camp. International Journal of Infectious Diseases 66: 45-50.
- Payment, P., Richardson, L., Siemiatycki, J., Dewar, R., Edwardes, M. and Franco, E. 1991. A randomized trial to evaluate the risk of gastrointestinal disease due to consumption of drinking water meeting current microbiological standards. American Journal of Public Health 81: 703-708.
- Rompré, A., Servais, P., Baudart, J., de-Roubin, M. and Laurent, P. 2002. Detection and enumeration of coliforms in drinking water: current methods and emerging approaches. Journal of Microbiological Methods 49: 31-54.
- Tabassum, N., Akter, M. and Acharjee, M. 2019. Study on microbiological quality analysis of tap-water and the effects of halo-tab plus tablet on water born microorganisms. IOSR Journal of Biotechnology and Biochemistry 5(3): 6-10.
- World Health Organization (WHO). 2003. Heterotrophic plate counts and drinking-water safety. United Kingdom: IWA Publishing.
- World Health Organization (WHO). 2004. Safe piped water: managing microbial water quality in piped distribution systems. United Kingdom: IWA Publishing.